

II. RESPONSE

In response to the Office Action mailed 1/12/06, please enter the amendment and reconsider the application in view of the remarks set forth below. It is believed that no new matter has been added.

In the Office Action, the Examiner has contended that Applicant's submission is not fully responsive.

In response, the Examiner was contacted by telephone and requested to identify the claims corresponding to the species and sub-species, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §132. The Examiner did not make the identification, and thus Applicant is responding as best as possible. Applicant elects with traverse Species V, sub-specie A, which Applicant understands as corresponding to claims 1, 61, 64-66, 116-117, and 114 as it depends from claims 1, 61, 64-66, and 116-117, representing Applicant's best understanding of the Office Action. Applicant maintains the reasons for the traversal set forth in the Amendment and Response filed October 26, 2005.

Should there be any concern on this or any aspect of the application, if it might advance prosecution, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned by telephone.

APPLICANT CLAIMS SMALL ENTITY STATUS The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees associated with the above-identified patent application or credit any overcharges to Deposit Account No. 50-0235.

Please direct all correspondence to the undersigned at the address given below.

Respectfully submitted,



Peter K. Trzyna
(Reg. No. 32,601)

Date: February 16, 2006

P.O. Box 7131
Chicago, IL 60680-7131
(312) 240-0824